

Resource Management Act 1991

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE TO THE CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

(FORM 6)

To: The Chief Executive
Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
Alexandra 9340

Name of person making further submission

Name: David Ritchie (on behalf on the Central Otago Heritage Trust)

Postal address: 34 Sunderland St, Clyde
(Or alternative method of service under [section 352](#) of the Act)

Phone: 021 220 9560

Email: daritchie@xtra.co.nz

Contact person: Maggie Hope, Central Otago Heritage Trust Coordinator
(Name & designation, if applicable)

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on Proposed Plan Change 20 to the Central Otago District Plan.

I am:

1. **A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, the grounds for saying this being:**

The Central Otago Heritage Trust represents the interests of our members ; or

2. **A person who has an interest in the proposal that is great than the interest the general public has, the grounds for saying this being:**

..... ; or

(Please state whether you are a person who may make a submission under 1 and/or 2 above and also specify/explain the grounds for saying that you come within category 1 and/or 2)

3. The local authority for the relevant area.

I support (or oppose) the submission of:

Please see attached on Plan Change 20
(Please state the name and address of original submitter and submission number and submission point number of the original submission)

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

Please see attached
.....
.....
.....

(Please give reasons and continue on an additional page if necessary)

I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):

Please see attached
.....
(Please give precise details)

I wish (~~or do not wish~~) to be hearing in support of my further submission.
(Please strike out as applicable)

If others make a similar submission , I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
(Please delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)



.....
Signature of person making Further Submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)
(A signature is not required if you make a submission by electronic means)

Date:10 /08/2023.....

Email:maggie@heritagecentralotago.org.nz.....

Telephone No:0275702485.....

Postal Address: C/- 34 Sunderland St
Clyde, 9391

Contact Person: Maggie Hope, Central Otago Heritage Trust Coordinator
(name & designation, if applicable)

Submissions close at 4pm on Thursday 10th August 2023

Submissions can be emailed to districtplan@codc.govt.nz

Note to person making submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Central Otago Heritage Trust (COHT)

Response to the Summary of Decisions Requested by Submitters

Submitter		COHT Response
1.	Phil Stephenson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COHT supports the principle that transportable prefabricated, or relocatable houses may be a means of achieving the heritage precinct guidelines. However, relocatable dwellings that do not adhere to the heritage precinct guidelines should be excluded from all heritage precincts and resource consent should not be granted for new buildings or existing buildings that do not meet the precinct guidelines. Compliance with the guidelines should be confirmed before such dwellings are moved onto the proposed site.
3.	Naseby Vision Incorporated Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COHT agrees that the borders of the precincts need to be readily available. A3 maps showing the boundaries of the five heritage precincts should be included within the Heritage Precinct Guidelines document. These maps should also be made available in pdf format on the CODC website (heritage page).
4.	Graham David Taylor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COHT supports the principle that owners should have flexibility to improve, enhance, and update their buildings in a manner that preserves heritage values while ensuring practical utility and relevance.
5 & 9	Lyne Stewart (5) Chris Naylor (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COHT supports the planting of endemic trees and shrubs, while also acknowledging that non-native trees and shrubs may also be considered appropriate to reflect the plantings of early settlers within the heritage precinct.
8.	Karen Daly and Daryl Baird	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COHT supports the establishment of the Cambrian settlement as a Heritage Precinct.
14.	Heritage New Zealand	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> COHT supports the inclusion of guidance for alterations of existing listed heritage structures COHT supports the inclusion of historical information of other communities such as the Irish and Chinese communities. COHT supports referencing the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 including its archaeological provisions, the New Zealand Heritage List, and a recommendation to consult Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga in the guidelines.

