

Resource Management Act 1991

Submission on Notified Proposed Plan Change 20 Central Otago District Plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

(FORM 5)

To: The Chief Executive
Central Otago District Council
PO Box 122
Alexandra 9340

Details of submitter

Name: Central Otago Heritage Trust

Postal address: C/- 34 Sunderland St Clyde

(Or alternative method of service under [section 352](#) of the Act)

Phone: 0275702485

Email: info@heritagecentralotago.org.nz

Contact person: David Ritchie, Chair Central Otago Heritage Trust

(Name & designation, if applicable)

This is a submission on proposed Plan Change 20 to the Central Otago District Plan (the proposal).

I am / am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of [section 308B](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (*select one)

*I / We am / am not (select one) directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

**Delete this paragraph if you are not a trade competitor.*

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

(Give details, attach on separate page if necessary)

Support of proposed Plan Change 20 and the Draft Heritage Precinct Guidelines.

This submission is:

(Attach on separate page if necessary) Include:

- whether you support or oppose the specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended; and
- the reasons for your views.

The Central Otago Heritage Trust (COHT) supports proposed Plan Change 20 and the associated Draft Heritage Precinct Guidelines. Our Trust has been advocating for these guidelines for many years to provide clarity on building within our five heritage precincts - Clyde, Naseby, Old Cromwell, Ophir and St Bathans. The guidelines have identified the unique architectural characteristics of each precinct and provides property owners with clear guidance on how new builds and large-scale renovations can enhance and reflect these characteristics.

Glen Hazelton, the key author of the Draft Heritage Precinct Guidelines, has attended two COHT Board meetings to present drafts for our feedback. On behalf of our members, we have valued the opportunity to work alongside Glen and Council in the advancement of this important Plan Change which supports the preservation and protection of Central Otago's unique heritage.

I / We seek the following decision from the consent authority:

(Give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought)

Support of proposed Plan Change 20 and the Draft Heritage Precinct Guidelines.

- **I support** / oppose the application OR neither support nor oppose *(select one)*
- I wish / **do not wish** to be heard in support of this submission *(select one)*
- ***I / We will consider** presenting a joint case if others make a similar submission
**Delete this paragraph if not applicable.*

In lodging this submission, I understand that my submission, including contact details, are considered public information, and will be made available and published as part of this process.

Signature (pp David Ritchie)



Date: 9/03/2023

Submissions close at 4pm on Friday 10th March 2023

Submissions can be emailed to districtplan@codc.govt.nz

Note to person making submission:

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that a least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- *it is frivolous or vexatious:*
- *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:*
- *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:*
- *it contains offensive language:*
- *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*